

## REMARKS

The amendments to the specification find support in the figures as originally filed.

No new matter is added by way of the amendments.

Applicants believe that the Notice of Drawing Inconsistency was issued due to the fact that Figures 43B-2, 43B-3, and 43B-4 as filed with the application were referred to in the specification at page 19 as Figures 43C, 43D, and 43E, respectively. With this amendment, the specification is amended to reflect the figure designation displayed on the figures themselves as originally filed.

## CONCLUSION


Applicants believe that the inconsistency noted in the Notice of Drawing Inconsistency has been eliminated by the above amendment, and request speedy issuance of the application as a U.S. Patent.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number indicated below should he find that there are any further issues outstanding.

Please charge any fees, including fees for extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 08-1641, referencing Docket No. 39766-0092 A.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 8, 2005

By:   
James A. Fox (Reg. No. 38,455)

**HELLER EHRMAN LLP**  
275 Middlefield Road  
Menlo Park, California 94025-3506  
Telephone: (650) 324-7000  
Facsimile: (650) 324-0638

SV 2172424 v1  
(39766.0092)